

Land Bank Mini-Grant Rubric

	50 Strong	40 Proficient	30 Satisfactory	20 Weak	10 Unacceptable	Scores & Comments
Quality of Proposal	Includes all required materials: signed application & checklist of documentation; materials are complete and clearly organized and presented; cost information is reasonable	Includes all required materials, with a need to obtain additional clarity on minor issues; cost information is reasonable	Includes all required materials, but there is a need to obtain additional clarity on significant issues requiring additional or replacement documentation; cost information is reasonable	Does not include all requested materials, but the proposal includes enough information to request a resubmission; cost information appears unreasonable for the market	Does not include all requested materials and there is not enough information to ask for a resubmission	
Evaluation of Connection to the Land Bank Mission	Clearly evaluates project relative to the Land Bank Mission; shows a strong connection with Land Bank strategies; demonstrates strong capacity to serve as a strategic partner or stakeholder of the Land Bank	Evaluates project relative to the Land Bank Mission; shows a direct connection with Land Bank strategies and community improvement; has some capacity to serve as a strategic partner or stakeholder of the Land Bank	Evaluates project relative to the Land Bank Mission; shows a direct connection with Land Bank strategies and community improvement	Evaluates project relative to the Land Bank Mission; shows indirect or weak connections with Land Bank Mission	Does not evaluate project relative to the Land Bank Mission	